

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,924		03/12/2001	Fu-Sheng Chen	06484.0070	1250
22852	7590	11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
	N, HEN	DERSON, FARAI	NGUYEN, DANNY		
LLP 1300 I STR	EET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	20005	2836		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/802,924	CHEN, FU-SHENG	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Danny Nguyen	2836	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

after SIX (6) MONTHS I If the pend for reply sp If NO period for reply is Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th earned patent term adju	specified above, the maximum s ne set or extended period for repl	nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a ly will, by statute, cause the	e statutory minir and will expire S e application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133) on, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status		El- I	0000		
	to communication(s) f			-1	
2a) This action		2b)⊠ This actio			
	cordance with the prac			mal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	3
·	3-9 <i>and 17-19</i> is/are pe	nding in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the ab	ove claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from	considera	tion.	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1,3-	-9 and 17-19 is/are reje	ected.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restri	iction and/or election	on requirem	nent.	
Application Papers					
9) The specificat	tion is objected to by th	ne Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s	s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or t) objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
1		•		in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	=			d b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	corrected drawings are re			on.	
	eclaration is objected to	o by the Examiner			
Priority under 35 U.S.					
		n for foreign priorit	under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) 3	Some * c) None of:				
1.☐ Certifie	ed copies of the priority	documents have	peen receiv	ved.	
2. Certifie	ed copies of the priority	documents have	peen receiv	ved in Application No	
ар	s of the certified copies plication from the Interned detailed Office action	national Bureau (P	CT Rule 17		
14) Acknowledgme	ent is made of a claim	for domestic priorit	y under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
a) 🔲 The trans	slation of the foreign la	nguage provisiona	l applicatio	n has been received.	
15) Acknowledgm	ent is made of a claim	for domestic priori	y under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References		DT0 040:		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Notice of Draftspersor Information Disclosure			6 6		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)		Office Action Sum	ımarv	Part of Paper No. 20031005	

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 2, 10-16 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Satoh (USPN 6,435,798). Satoh discloses a method of maintaining a lift structure of a chuck wafer (fig. 3) that supports a semiconductor wafer (3) comprises proving a removable lift pin (first pin 11), removing the first pin (the first lift pin 11) to the lift base (lift base 9), mounting a second pin (a second lift pin 11) to the lift base (see col. 8, lines 53-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tepman (USPN 5.951.775) in view of Satoh (USPN 6.435.798).

Regarding to claims 1, 5,Tepman discloses a chuck system for supporting a semiconductor wafer (see fig. 1 and 2) comprises a chuck platform (16) for supporting

Art Unit: 2836

the semiconductor wafer, lift structure (see fig. 2) movably coupled to the platform to receive the wafer (14), including a lift base (shown in fig. 2) and at least one lift pin (30) removably coupled to the base, the lift pin having two ends with a first end removably coupled to the base and the second end coupled for supporting the wafer during operation of the lift structure, wherein the first lift pin is threaded. Tepman does not disclose the lift base has a thread hole. Satoh discloses an example of plasma process has a lift base (9) with a thread hole (32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have placed the lift base of Tepman with a lift base having a thread hole as taught by Satoh in order to improve productivity, and time required for maintenance can be shortened (Satoh, col. 8, lines 63-67).

Regarding to claim 3,Tepman disclose the system comprises a bolt (shown in fig. 2), wherein the first end of the lift pin (first pin 30) is threaded and the bolt removably couples the lift pin with the base through an opening provided by the lift base.

Regarding to claims 4, 9,Tepman discloses the lift structure comprises a plurality of pins (30) coupled to the lift base and the lift base is flat and provides one opening fro receiving the pins (30) (see fig. 2).

Regarding to claim 6, Tepman discloses the chuck system is an electrostatic chuck system (fig. 2).

Regarding to claim 19, Tepman discloses that the chuck system comprises a driving mechanism (driving mechanism 18) for driving the lift structure, the lift base having at least one mounting hole to mount the lift structure to the driving mechanism,

Art Unit: 2836

wherein the mounting hole being positioned closer to the center of the lift base than the lift pin (shown in fig. 2).

4. Claims 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tepman in view of Satoh, and further in view of Morita et al. (USPN 5,815,366). Tepman and Satoh disclose all limitations of claim 1 except for having the lift pin connected to ground when the lift receives the wafer. Morita et al. diclose the lift pin connected to ground (ground circuit 30 shown in fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the circuit of Tepman and Satoh with a ground circuit as taught by Morita et al. in order to discharge electrostatic on the wafer during lifting operation.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments, see Remark, filed 10/17/2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1 and 17 under 102 (b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the final rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Satoh (USPN 6,435,798)

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)-305-5988. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703)-308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9318 for regular communications and (703)-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

DΝ

DN November 6, 2003

ESBY STROUG TIMES EXPENT EXMINES